World Journal of Clinical Cases

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2025 January 16; 13(2): 99071

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v13.i2.99071

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

EDITORIAL

Malpractice or masterful practice? Navigating vicarious liability in healthcare

Satvik N Pai, Naveen Jeyaraman, Madhan Jeyaraman, Swaminathan Ramasubramanian

Specialty type: Medicine, research and experimental

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's classification
Scientific Quality: Grade B
Novelty: Grade B
Creativity or Innovation: Grade B
Scientific Significance: Grade B

P-Reviewer: Kamangu JWN

Received: July 12, 2024
Revised: October 3, 2024
Accepted: October 15, 2024
Published online: January 16, 2025
Processing time: 118 Days and 16.7 Hours

Satvik N Pai, Department of Orthopaedics, PES University Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Bengaluru 560100, Karnataka, India

Naveen Jeyaraman, Madhan Jeyaraman, Department of Orthopaedics, ACS Medical College and Hospital, Dr MGR Educational and Research Institute, Chennai 600077, Tamil Nadu, India

Swaminathan Ramasubramanian, Department of Orthopaedics, Government Medical College, Omandurar Government Estate, Chennai 600002, Tamil Nadu, India

Co-first authors: Satvik N Pai and Naveen Jeyaraman.

Corresponding author: Madhan Jeyaraman, MS, PhD, Assistant Professor, Research Associate, Department of Orthopaedics, ACS Medical College and Hospital, Dr MGR Educational and Research Institute, Velappanchavadi, Chennai 600077, Tamil Nadu, India.
madhanjeyaraman@gmail.com

Abstract

In the intricate landscape of healthcare, vicarious liability looms large, shaping the responsibilities and actions of healthcare practitioners and administrators alike. Illustrated by a poignant scenario of a medication error, this article navigates the complexities of vicarious liability in healthcare. It explains the legal basis and ramifications of this theory, emphasizing its importance in fostering responsibility, protecting patient welfare, and easing access to justice. The paper explores the practical effects of vicarious responsibility on day-to-day operations, leadership practices, and decision-making processes *via* the eyes of senior consultants, junior doctors, and hospital administrators. Through comprehensive insights and real-world examples, it underscores the imperative of fostering a culture of accountability, communication, and quality care to navigate the intricate web of liabilities inherent in modern healthcare.

Key Words: Vicarious liability; Healthcare; Responsibility; Litigation; Patient welfare

©The Author(s) 2025. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: In the complex healthcare landscape, vicarious liability significantly influences the actions of practitioners and administrators. Using a poignant medication error scenario, this article delves into the legal basis and consequences of vicarious liability. It underscores the need for responsibility, patient welfare, and accessible justice. Through real-world examples, it emphasizes fostering an accountable, communicative culture to navigate healthcare liabilities.

Citation: Pai SN, Jeyaraman N, Jeyaraman M, Ramasubramanian S. Malpractice or masterful practice? Navigating vicarious liability in healthcare. *World J Clin Cases* 2025; 13(2): 99071
URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v13/i2/99071.htm
DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v13.i2.99071

INTRODUCTION

On rounds in a bustling hospital ward, a senior doctor prescribes a precise dosage of medication tailored to the patient's needs. However, during the handover process, a junior doctor inadvertently transcribes the prescription with an incorrect dosage, deviating significantly from the senior doctor's instructions. Unbeknownst to the senior doctor, this error sets off a chain of events with grave consequences. As the patient receives the medication, their condition deteriorates rapidly, prompting an urgent review of the treatment plan. It becomes evident that the incorrect dosage, attributed to the junior doctor's transcription error, is responsible for the adverse reaction. Despite the senior doctor's best efforts to ensure accuracy in prescribing, the question of responsibility looms large. Who ultimately bears the burden of accountability for the harm caused by the erroneous prescription? This scenario encapsulates the intricate complexities of vicarious liability in healthcare. Understanding the implications of vicarious liability necessitates a comprehensive examination of its legal foundations, deeply rooted in principles of agency and the employer-employee relationship. As we delve into this topic, we navigate through the labyrinth of liability within healthcare settings. This paper endeavors to illuminate the nuances of vicarious liability, offering insights into its application, implications, and strategies for effective management.

WHAT IS VICARIOUS LIABILITY AND HOW DOES IT APPLY IN HEALTHCARE?

Vicarious responsibility, also known as imputed liability or secondary liability, is a legal concept that holds one person liable for another party's illegal conduct due to their connection. In essence, it holds a supervisory or superior entity responsible for the negligent acts or omissions of those under its control or authority. This legal theory is founded on the premise of agency, which asserts that an employer or principal may be held accountable for the actions of their employees or agents when operating within the scope of their employment or agency relationships.

In a healthcare setting, vicarious liability plays a significant role due to the hierarchical structure inherent in medical practice. Physicians, nurses, and other healthcare professionals frequently work under a system of supervision and delegation, where top practitioners monitor the conduct of junior staff members[1]. As a result, if a healthcare professional makes a negligent act or error while functioning within the scope of their job, the institution or supervising practitioner may be held vicariously accountable for any ensuing injury to patients[2]. This notion applies beyond direct medical treatment to a wide range of activities inside healthcare organizations, such as administrative work, prescription administration, and decision-making procedures. Vicarious liabilities involving doctors can arise from surgical errors, misdiagnoses, prescription mistakes, and inadequate supervision of trainees. Additionally, nurses' negligence, such as medication errors or failure in patient monitoring, can also render hospitals or medical practitioners vicariously liable for resulting harm.

WHY SHOULD ONE PERSON BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACTIONS OF ANOTHER?

Vicarious liability is essential for holding institutions and senior doctors accountable for the actions of their employees, promoting patient safety, and facilitating access to justice. By assigning responsibility to employers for the negligent acts of their agents, vicarious liability encourages organizations and individuals to prioritize supervision and quality control. Healthcare organizations have a vested interest in minimizing the frequency of medical mistakes and adverse events, as they may be held vicariously accountable for the acts of their personnel[3]. This incentivizes the adoption of best practices, quality improvement initiatives, and ongoing professional development programs aimed at enhancing the standard of care and reducing the likelihood of harm to patients[4]. It also provides a streamlined avenue for victims to seek compensation without navigating complex legal proceedings against individual practitioners. Overall, vicarious liability plays a crucial role in ensuring accountability and safeguarding patient welfare.

DOES THIS MEAN THAT THE JUNIOR DOCTOR IS ABSOLVED OF ALL LIABILITY FOR THEIR MISTAKE?

The assertion that vicarious liability transfers all responsibility from the junior doctor to the supervising institution or senior doctor is a common misconception. While vicarious liability holds the employer or supervising entity accountable for the actions of their employees or agents, it does not absolve the individual practitioner of all liability. Instead, it works in tandem with the idea of joint and several responsibilities, which allows numerous persons to be held accountable for the same injury, either collectively or individually, depending on their level of guilt. In the context of healthcare, this means that both the junior doctor and the supervising institution or senior doctor may share liability for any negligent actions or errors committed[5]. While the institution may be held vicariously accountable for the junior doctor's acts while on the job, the junior doctor is ultimately responsible for their conduct. If it can be demonstrated that the junior doctor acted negligently or breached their duty of care independently of any supervision or direction, they may be held directly liable for resulting harm to the patient.

AS A SENIOR CONSULTANT WITH JUNIOR DOCTORS AND NURSES UNDER ONESELF, WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR THE WAY YOU LEAD YOUR TEAM?

As a senior healthcare consultant, it's crucial to recognize the impact of vicarious liability on our practice. This legal principle emphasizes the importance of meticulous supervision and clear communication with our junior doctors and nurses. When delegating tasks, it's essential to ensure that responsibilities are assigned appropriately, taking into account the competency and experience of each team member. By delegating tasks effectively and providing adequate oversight, we can mitigate risks and uphold patient safety. Additionally, prioritizing ongoing training and professional development for the entire team is essential to enhance skills and awareness of potential liabilities. By fostering a culture of accountability and collaboration, we can uphold the highest standards of practice and safeguard both patient welfare and institutional integrity.

AS A JUNIOR DOCTOR HAVING TO TAKE ORDERS FROM A SENIOR, HOW DOES THIS IMPACT HOW ONE SHOULD GO ABOUT DOING TASKS ONE IS ASSIGNED?

As a junior doctor, navigating vicarious liability means understanding that you bear responsibility for your actions, even when following orders from a senior. It's crucial to exercise diligence and competence in executing tasks assigned to you, ensuring that you adhere to established protocols and standards of care. Seek guidance when uncertain, and communicate openly with your seniors about any concerns or challenges you encounter. By demonstrating accountability and professionalism in your practice, you not only contribute to patient safety but also protect yourself from potential legal liabilities. Remember, while you may follow instructions, you ultimately share responsibility for the outcomes of your actions. However, it's important to note that the law recognizes the limitations inherent in your position. Vicarious liability means that the senior doctor or institution also shares responsibility for patient care outcomes, particularly considering the hierarchical nature of medical practice. So while you must uphold standards of care and follow instructions diligently, you're not expected to shoulder the burden alone. Collaboration and communication with your seniors are key to navigating these complexities and ensuring the best outcomes for your patients.

HOW SHOULD THIS IMPACT THE DECISION-MAKING OF THE ADMINISTRATORS OF HEALTHCARE INSTITUTIONS?

Hospital administrators and medical establishment owners shoulder a considerable burden under the principle of vicarious liability. When making hiring and promotion decisions, they must consider not only the qualifications and expertise of potential candidates but also their commitment to patient safety and quality of care. Employees entrusted with patient care responsibilities should be selected based on their ability to uphold professional standards and adhere to established protocols. Additionally, promoting a culture of accountability and attention to detail is imperative, as it directly impacts the institution's exposure to legal liabilities. Encouraging and rewarding quality performance not only enhances patient outcomes but also mitigates the risk of medical errors and malpractice claims, ultimately safeguarding the reputation and financial stability of the hospital or medical establishment.

CONCLUSION

Using a poignant medication error scenario, this article delves into the legal basis and consequences of vicarious liability. It underscores the need for responsibility, patient welfare, and accessible justice. Through real-world examples, it emphasizes fostering an accountable, communicative culture to navigate healthcare liabilities.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Pai SN conceptualized the manuscript; Jeyaraman N, Jeyaraman M, and Ramasubramanian S performed data analysis and wrote the manuscript; All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All authors declare no conflict of interest in publishing the manuscript.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: Https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country of origin: India

ORCID number: Satvik N Pai 0000-0002-3621-150X; Naveen Jeyaraman 0000-0002-4362-3326; Madhan Jeyaraman 0000-0002-9045-9493; Swaminathan Ramasubramanian 0000-0001-8845-8427.

S-Editor: Gao CC
L-Editor: A
P-Editor: Yu HG

REFERENCES

1 **Paterick BB**, Waterhouse BE, Paterick TE, Sanbar SS. Liability of physicians supervising nonphysician clinicians. *J Med Pract Manage* 2014; **29**: 309-313 [PMID: 24873129]
2 **Kaufman AS**, Endick MS. Hospitals' vicarious liability for the acts of their attending and contract physicians. *J Healthc Risk Manag* 1994; **14**: 9-16 [PMID: 10135778 DOI: 10.1002/jhrm.5600140204]
3 **Thornton RG**. Responsibility for the acts of others. *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent)* 2010; **23**: 313-315 [PMID: 21240324 DOI: 10.1080/08998280.2010.11928641]
4 **Pai SN**, Jeyaraman M, Jeyaraman N, Nallakumarasamy A, Yadav S. In the Hands of a Robot, From the Operating Room to the Courtroom: The Medicolegal Considerations of Robotic Surgery. *Cureus* 2023; **15**: e43634 [PMID: 37719624 DOI: 10.7759/cureus.43634]
5 **Sappideen C**. Medical teams and the standard of care in negligence. *J Law Med* 2015; **23**: 69-82 [PMID: 26554200]

Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-3991568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk
https://www.wjgnet.com

© 2025 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.